



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_47838
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Different Types of Organic Fertilizers on Growth Performance of <i>Amaranthus caudatus</i> (Samaru Local Variety) and <i>Amaranthus cruentus</i> (NH84/452)
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: This section is well summarised. Introduction: Well organized. Recommend to cite of the referred article. Materials and Methods: Nice presentation. Results and Discussion: Results and interpretation of results are nicely presented. Conclusion: Well-organized. References: Cross check is necessary.	1. Done as appropriate 2. References were corrected using Vancouver referencing as indicated
Minor REVISION comments	Some words, formatting, spelling, SI units and syntax must be corrected before acceptance of the manuscript.	1. Grammatical range and syntax were corrected; SI units were corrected as appropriate.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>