



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_47838
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Different Types of Organic Fertilizers on Growth Performance of <i>Amaranthus caudatus</i> (Samaru Local Variety) and <i>Amaranthus cruentus</i> (NH84/452)
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> No paragraph in abstract. See as corrected. Each figures should come after it has been discussed, e.g. number of leaves, plant height, leaves area index etc. If references are numbered and the number(s) appeared in text. There is no need of name(s) appearing before the number(s) in the text again. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The format for abstract was according to the template given by the Journal house. Each figure and table was placed after its corresponding result and discussion. References and citation were corrected appropriately.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>