



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49011
Title of the Manuscript:	Social and productive indicators of forage palm and the survival of livestock activity in the semi-arid region of north-eastern Brazil.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title of manuscript is suitable for this study. "Social and productive indicators of forage palm and the survival of livestock activity in the semi-arid region of north-eastern Brazil".</p> <p>The introduction and manuscript are lacks the scientific binding tools known in scientific research as on however, therefore, moreover and etc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are problems in the references as: (There is no reference in the discussion and results, and should be linked to previous studies in the region of Brazil or neighboring countries, for example). - The methodology is a suitable, and the years of study are sufficient. - The result and discussion are needed references and should be linked to previous studies in the region. - <i>Opuntia ficus indica</i> Mill.: <i>Opuntia ficus indica</i> Mill. <p>You should review the manuscript well, and improve the results and discussion and added to references.</p>	The reviewer's comments were all adhered to and the text as a whole improved.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Nothing to declare