



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49344
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF TRIPLE SUPER PHOSPHATE ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF BORO RICE IN HAOR AREAS OF SUNAMGANJ DISTRICT IN BANGLADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is accepted with minor changes. The paper has scientific quality, all sections are clear and the design chosen is good and appropriate to the study and the variables evaluated are adequate for this experiment. Only little corrections were done in each section.</p> <p>Title: Is ok</p> <p>Abstract: Is ok. Little corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Introduction: To write the economic importance of rice production in Bangladesh (annual production, acreage, yield and production value). Little corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Write latitude, longitude and altitude. Describe the main agronomic characteristics of BRRI dhan 29 and BRRI Dhan 58 rice variety.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Are ok. Tables are clear and explicative. This section is good with proper evidences.</p> <p>Conclusion: Is ok.</p> <p>References: Is ok. They are complete. Only reference 16 Alam et al., are missing vol and page number. Little corrections were done in the manuscript</p>	We have done everything as you suggest.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No