Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJACR_46163**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An ultra-trace Quantification of Poly Aromatic Hydro carbons using Selected Ion Monitoring Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry in Sarawak, Mambong River**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript requires major changes.** **The manuscript's abstract needs to be thoroughly revised. The scope of the study must be properly highlighted.** **Author(s) need to advice similar papers in order to improve the overall presentation of their work.** **The Introduction section of the manuscript requires extensive revision. The authors need to expand the review of literature that is relevant to their study. The aim of the study needs to be properly highlighted and justified.** **Results are not presented properly. Mainly, it is because the presentation of results lacks any description, author(s) only show tables.** **Validation of method must be fully showed by an extensive analysis of figures of merit such as selectivity, linearity, limit of detection, limit of quantitation, etc. Although, some of those parameters were mentioned on document, experimental evidence is not presented and discussed properly.**	The abstract and Introduction are reversed as advised by the reviewer The result is updated. The Validation of method, analysis of figures of merit such as selectivity, linearity, limit of detection, limit of quantitation, were re-visited though some of the pointed information's were mentioned in the in the document.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	