SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJACR_46541**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Randomized trial on Quality assessment analysis of virgin coconut oil (Cocus nucifera L.) from wet and dry coconuts (unrefined grade); coconut oil by solvent extraction method (refined grade) produced from three survey regions of East Godavari district.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**TITLE** The title of the article should be changed too long. For example "**Determination of the Quality of Coconut Oils in the Godavari Region of India"** **ABSTRACT** Iodine value is mentioned but not in the results section "There was a significant difference in iodine value" **Key Words:** Keywords should be written in the same way. **Materials and methods** The characteristics of coconut in the Godavari region should be mentioned briefly. It should be said if there are differences compared to other places. In particular, the difference in the APCC region coconut should be demonstrated. FFA is not mentioned here. **Results** For better interpretation 3 samples should be analyzed together 9 samples. APCC region standards and India standards should be made in a separate table. No references are used here. **Conclusions** This section should be rewritten. In particular, the AMP region should be highlighted in terms of trade. **REFERENCES**. Use APA referencing style. Italics all journal names. A total of 10 references were used, 6 of which were in the introduction and 4 of which were in the material method. This is not enough. There is no Food Standards Committee, 1978.	**kindly find the updated manuscript with specified changes and that were labelled in yellow.**
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Authors should make corrections. It is an article that can be a regional contribution.	

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: ahttp://sciencedomain.org/archives/20