



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_42306
Title of the Manuscript:	Food crop Farmers' Vulnerability to Climate Change in South-East, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is about food crop farmers' vulnerability to climate change in South-East, Nigeria. The title is in coherence with the text and the abstract indicates the objectives, and the main results. This is within the scope of Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology.</p> <p>Hereafter some helpful comments to improve this paper.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The manuscript is not well fitted in the current research. The used literature is outdated. ▪ The introduction should include testable hypothesis. ▪ The innovations of the paper should be clearly highlighted in Introduction and discussed in results Section. Please explain how this study advances our knowledge in this domain ▪ Table 1: the authors should keep the following rules: Each table have to be self understandable. The reader should get a first impression of the relevance of a contribution only by studying the tables and figures, and without reading the paper sections (LGAs ?). ▪ Add a map of the study area ▪ The theoretical basis and vulnerability assessment framework in the study is neither defined properly nor clear. 	<p>Introduction section has been restructured with recent references</p> <p>Discussion part has been enriched in aspect of new findings</p> <p>Vulnerability assessment framework has been added in the manuscript</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		