



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_46476
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Cocoyam marketing in Anambra Agricultural Zone of Anambra State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The right methodology of research. The research questions are clearly stated. The theoretical framework are creative. The research questions are explored in a way that is new, creative and important to the discipline. The methodology is clearly explained. The empirical data, quantitative are analyzed in appropriate ways, and written up in ways that are easy to understand. The study conclusions supported are by the analysis. The analysis adequately address the issues raised by the framework.	I agree. Value has been added based on other reviewer's comments.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	English language and style are fine/minor spell check required  Weaknesses of the manuscript: - little conclusions	Wrong spellings seen were corrected.  Value has been added to the conclusions.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	