



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_46688
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of rising international market prices of rice on welfare and poverty in Senegal
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No major revision comment	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. As much as possible, do not cite references in the Abstract. For example, the second and third sentences in the Abstract may be simply written as: "Our empirical analysis uses net benefit ratio to assess the impact of a change in the international rice price on household welfare. The data come from Senegal's second poverty monitoring survey."</p> <p>2. The "net profit ratio" in the second to the last paragraph of the Introduction (page 2) should be "net benefit ratio".</p> <p>3. All cited references should have corresponding listing in the References section. Hence, the citations "Minot 2014" (second paragraph of the Introduction) and "ESPS-II 2011" should be included in the list of references.</p> <p>4. Be consistent on the use of a citation style. Hence, "Minot 2014" (second paragraph of the Introduction), "ESPS-II 2011" (first sentence of subsection 2.2 Data, and under each table and Figure 1), "ANSD 2015" (Map 1) and "Deaton and Muellbauer 1980" (footnote 6) should also be referred to using a number in brackets. In addition, when using this "numbered" style of citation, the citations should be consecutively numbered from 1 as they appear in the text and the reference list should have the same numbering. Hence, the first citation in the text (first sentence of the Introduction) should be referred to as "[1]" and not "[25]", the second citation is still "[2]", the third citation (second to the last sentence of the first paragraph of the Introduction) should be "[3]" and not "[12]", and so on. Correspondingly, in the list of references (page 11), Reference 1 should be "World Bank 2018", Reference 2 is still "ANSD 2015", Reference 3 should be "FAO 2015" and so on.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I took into account the various comments of the reviewer to improve this document. I Have changed the second and third sentences in the Abstract I have not cited references in the new Abstract I have corrected NBR in the second to the last paragraph of the Introduction the first citation in the text (first sentence of the Introduction) is now referred as "[1]" and not "[25]" and so on.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	