

Editor's Comment:

Taking into consideration the reviewers' comments, the revised manuscript as well as the authors' feedback, the authors must give their feedback in both reviewers' comments point by point.

Author's Feedback:**Part 1**

1. Aim of the study is evidenced in both the abstract and the introduction
2. Methodology has been further explained in a more clearer way and re-arranged; the sub-titles: concepts of efficiency and efficiency estimation methods that earlier appeared under the methods and materials have both been moved to introduction
3. Results were further discussed and more policy implications highlighted
4. Conclusion and recommendations have been further expanded
5. Due to the additions made, reference number 29 was added and other re-arranged to correspond with the numbering in the citations

Note: all the above were coloured yellow for your information

Part 2

1. Citations and referencing have all been cross checked and highlighted in yellow
2. All the other areas suggested for review, correction or outright removal have been appropriately done and also colored yellow. Figures that were in line 303 and 332 have been deleted and figure in table 1 changed from 11.7 to 117 and statement in line 256 has been revised
3. It is an original research paper
4. There are no ethical issues in the manuscript