



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_49460
Title of the Manuscript:	Strength, Weakness, Opportunity and Challenges in Agriculture market
Type of the Article	REVIEW

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The grammatical errors must be corrected in the entire paper.</p> <p>The title can be changed in such a way that the entire content of the paper is covered.</p> <p>No proper discussion, from the author point of view is done, hence it must be included in the paper.</p> <p>Conclusion can also be modified accordingly.</p> <p>Lot of clarity has to be given in the paper, as there is lot of confusion between the title, content and side headings mentioned.</p> <p>Please include little about the methodology used.</p> <p>References wherever required must be given in the text and at the end of the paper compulsorily.</p>	<p>The grammatical errors have been checked and corrected</p> <p>The title has been modified</p> <p>Modified</p> <p>Modified</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Reference modified</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The abstract of the paper is modified by the reviewer and highlighted in red font wherever it is modified. Please refer it for getting an idea of how to modify the paper.</p>	<p>Thank you for your effort</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>None</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>