



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_49589
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE (KAP) REGARDING NITROGEN FORTIFIED ORGANIC MANURE IN CROP PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The author tried to add new knowledge in the field of agriculture. The research is important for the improvement of future sustainable agriculture. But, the author did not clearly identify the research gap. There are lot of literature which could be cited. I think author should add more literature. Table 3 was not clearly discussed. Authors unnecessarily added so much information about socioeconomic characteristics. They should focus more on the main objective.	Research gap identified clearly. Few more literature cited in the texts. Table 3 was clearly described. Main objective oriented write-up was improved. Socioeconomic characteristics are important findings and thus kept, however, write-up improved. All the changes made in the texts are highlighted with yellow colour.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Check the comments of the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Accepted after incorporating the comments given in the manuscript.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I declare that there is no ethical issues in this manuscript.