



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_49589
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE (KAP) REGARDING NITROGEN FORTIFIED ORGANIC MANURE IN CROP PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abbreviations such as BBS and FY should be given. Detailed information about the importance and scope of the study should be given in the introduction. 3. Analyzes and comments in the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION section should be made in more appropriate terms. The result section is insufficient. It should be rewritten and suggested to cover the general results of the study. There are errors in the references of the study, should be reviewed.	Short forms were elaborated. Importance and scope were tried to add. Results and discussion section was improved in respect of writing styles and contents. Reference writing style was edited. All the changes made in the texts are highlighted with yellow colour.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I declare that there is no ethical issues in this manuscript.