



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAHR_46536</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Liming and soil amendments for acidity regulation and nutrients uptake by potato-mungbean-rice cropping pattern in the Old Himalayan Piedmont Plain</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Placing the conclusion of the translator</p> <p>Material and Methods: the year the research was carried out; Put the amount of urea, K, P and N added to the soil;</p> <p>Results: perform the difference between the treatments and the repetitions, to verify the degree of significance of each result and to identify which was better for each analyzed parameter;</p> <p>In the results there is its discussion, it should then be done by discussing the results with other authors, in addition to telling the results discuss what it really means.</p> <p>Conclusion: improve the final conclusion of the work, try to raise the results.</p>	<p>Abstract updated as necessary.</p> <p>Years of study added in M and M section.</p> <p>Results discussed properly.</p> <p>Conclusion is evident (for example): dolomite application at 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with manure addition (FYM at 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> or PM at 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) can be regarded as the best amendment for sustainable soil fertility, optimization of acidity and crop yield in the Old Himalayan Piedmont Plain soils of north eastern Bangladesh.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	