



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_47428
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOTOXICITY OF CYPERMETHRIN PESTICIDE ON SEED GERMINATION, GROWTH AND YIELD PARAMETERS OF COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Please T₀ (numeric value) instead of To and also subscript all treatment like T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ ➤ Make sure scientific name italic like <i>Aphis craccivora</i> and it must be either common name or scientific name in bracket if it used together ➤ Please rewrite abstract don't discuss journal thing directly come on role of cypermethrin in cowpea or pulses what is it positive and negative consequence ➤ Please rewrite conclusion because as per your data table it show that farmer get best result at T₀ it means we should advise farmer not go for cypermethrin or what ➤ In 3.3 refrence missingreported that the use who reported ➤ Please check Egho is 2009 or 2010 differ in text or reference ➤ Cserhati <i>et al.</i>, 2004, Akoto <i>et al</i> 2013, Fayez and Kirsten 1996, Ray <i>et al.</i>, 1996, Karim, <i>et al.</i>, 2013, Mishra <i>et al.</i>, 2008, Glover-Amengor and Tetteh 2008 missing in reference ➤ Please check Wahengban or Wahengbam 	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected in the whole manuscript</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Conclusion has been corrected</p> <p>Reference included 2009 is the corrected year and it has been corrected All the references have been included</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Please also mention grain and biological yield if possible 	Corrected
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Please use Cypermethrin in % in abstract detail about volume you can give in material & method ➤ I feel that you write above manuscript in hurry many reference missing introduction and conclusion not clear either you endorse use of cypermethrin in cowpea or opposing it please a clam and try to improve manuscript for clear message to readers 	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	