



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_44066
Title of the Manuscript:	HEPATOTHERAPEUTIC TENDENCY OF METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF CITRULLUS LANATUS RIND ON LIVER FUNCTION MARKERS IN NORMAL MALE WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I propose to authors to transform tables 2 and 3 into histograms, it makes it easier to read the results and to better compare the different effects - Authors should review the text in the results section <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Line 204: % of change is -50 not -0.5 • Line 206: % of change is -0.03 not -0.3 • Line 211: % change is -2 or -0.2? - The discussion is very short; it is necessary to discuss more while referring to other works in this direction 	I agree with that. Noted sir, Thank you. I plotted a histogram and bar chart but it looked very complex due to the wide difference in values, so i used a segmented pie chart.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 84: what mean 200µml? 50µml? - Line 173: The reference must be numbered - Lines 196 & 197 must be just after the Table 1 	I agree with that. Noted sir, Thank you.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The numbering of the bibliographic references in the text is not correct, in principle it must follow a well-defined order (1, 2,3...) - Line 103: tiny letter T in Treated - Line 150: Then, capital after the point - Line 234: Space after the point (. Low dose treatment) 	I agree with that. Noted sir, Thank you. I'm amazed at how you managed to spot these mistakes. I'll apply the knowledge in subsequent articles. I really appreciate. .

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	