



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_46108
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATION IN SWAMPY AGRICULTURAL SOIL OF NASARAWA WEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT -English mistakes in the concluding part.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION -1st sentence which is that parent material? -I expected knowledge of x-ray fluorescence spectrometry be shown. Also how quantitative analysis was reached. I wouldn't mind if it is taken if convenient to material and Method part. -The aim/objective of the study should feature at the end of the introduction part. -In general this section needs to be improved.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - Several sentences say "...WHO standards limits..." without references. Pg. 4,5,6 and 8. - I would find the paper testy if in this section literature comparison is done between other authors and the present results. - Table 2 be placed close to where cited at pg. 5 or 6.</p> <p>REFERENCES There should be consistency in the writing of References eg. Author (initial or NOT), Vol. pg..... (see format) throughout.</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The english mistake has been corrected. <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heavy metals. Sample analysis using XRF spectrometer is shown in material and method. Aim of the study is now captured at the end part of the introduction. <p>Result and Discursion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> WHO reference limit are now duely referenced accordantly. The other comments were noted. <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
Optional/General comments	The paper may be published after the said corrections	Your comments were constructive and helpful in improving the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	