



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_48273
Title of the Manuscript:	Health risk and heavy metal assessment in selected vegetables together with soils in Minjingu village-Tanzania using WDXRF technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The metals studied are not heavy metals but we can called them as lithophiles. Heavy metals are Pb, Hg, Cr, etc. Please correct the title. Please add the note of novelty of your work in the Introduction part. Also cite new references in the Introduction part. Please add a Table mentioning the WHO permissible levels of the studied metals in environmental media. Please include health risk assessment study.	-I have changed the title to “ Assessment of health risk and elemental concentrations in Minjingu Vegetables and soils by Wavelength Dispersive X-ray by Fluorescence ” - I have tried and table 4 provides WHO permissible levels. - health risk Index (HRI) assessment is given as column in table 4
Minor REVISION comments	NA	NA
Optional/General comments	NA	Na

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I agree with reviewers comments