



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_45734
Title of the Manuscript:	Recurrent Intestinal Necrosis due to polystyrene sulphonate use - a case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is interesting, but not 100% proven. The Achilles heel is whether patient had any vascular compromise that could ALSO explain this presentation. I.e. Mesenteric ischemia. This needs to be addressed as a possible cause in ddx. If a CTA or MRA was done this needs to be mentioned it was not.	Thank you for your comment and question. The Urgent CT scan showed wide and patent mesenteric artery. In addition, this patient has no risk factors, such as Atrial Fibrillation or autoimmune disorders which may lead to vasculitis, that may contribute to vascular occlusion.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Nil ethical issues