SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_46068**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Economic Analysis of Agar-wood Production in North-eastern Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author presented a voluminous and fairly thoughtful work, which, nevertheless, leaves some questions. Thus, the author did not sufficiently describe the data collection procedure, and it is not clear how the results obtained by various methods fit together.	We would like to express our gratitude to the reviewer for his/her insightful comments and suggestions for improving our manuscript. The reviewer raises a point about data collection procedure. For this reason, we have added a more detailed in methodology section in the revised manuscript by describing the data collection procedure and hope that this addresses the concerns of the reviewer. For calculating the profit of agar-wood production (1 acre land) total cost and total revenue was estimated. It was necessary to assess the financial viability of agar-wood production because it needs huge investment and takes a long time period. For this, net present value (NPV), discounted benefit cost ratio (BCR) and internal rate of return (IRR) were calculated for a period of 12 years. Inputs cost and output price changed with time due to many reason. So, it was necessary to did Sensitivity analysis to see what happens under these changed circumstances. By using this methods, finally it was concluded that agar-wood is profitable enterprise. We hope that this addresses the concerns of the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	He writes about his own field research, but says nothing about the questionnaire, so it is impossible to establish the validity of such material.	The study was based on the authors own field research and a brief description of questionnaire is given in the methodology section of the revised manuscript (Row 46-54).
Optional/General comments	In addition, the financial instruments used by the author are usually used in evaluating investment projects, and their other use is expansive and requires justification. Before clarifying these issues, publish the article early.	Agar-wood production needs large investment by the farmers and it takes long time period (10-15 years) to realize the benefit. For this reason, we have decided to use these financial instruments for evaluating Agar-wood production by the farmers.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	