SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_46068**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Economic Analysis of Agar-wood Production in North-eastern Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Table 2 was not referred in the text 2. The following references were in the text but not in the reference list • field survey (2017) 3. Stated four Problems encountered by agar farmers, but suggestions to overcome were not given	We would like to express our gratitude to the reviewer for his/her insightful comments and suggestions for improving our manuscript. According to reviewer comments, we have revised the manuscript: 1. By mistake Table 2 was not referred in the text previously, and now it is referred in the text. 2. In the manuscript field survey (2017), indicates the data obtained by the Author himself in study area by face to face interview with the respondents. That's why field survey (2017) was not included in the reference list. 3. Probable solutions of these problems were included in the conclusion section of the manuscript (Row 235, 236, 237,238) and hope that this addresses the concerns of the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	