SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_46068**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Economic Analysis of Agar-wood Production in North-eastern Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	BDT should be given an opening. ın the methodology section, The methods used in the analysis are explained in detail. However, the total costs of the variable cost should be given in this section. Besides, is the fixed cost only the cost of land use? Doesn't costs such as depreciation, bank loan interest, land tax occur? Is it possible to consider the implementation of the Reimbursement Period Method to complete the analysis? Review of some numbers should be reviewed (Row 130, 132, 145, 146) The conclusion section should be expanded according to the scope of the article and comments should be made by using the numerical values of the results of the analysis.	➢ We would like to express our gratitude to the reviewer for his/her insightful comments and suggestions for improving our manuscript. According to reviewer comments we have given an opening of BDT in methodology section, the total costs of the variable cost also given in this section. ➢ The agar-wood farmers don't use any type of costly machinery or equipment where depreciation cost can be calculated. They use nail/iron pig but its depreciation cost can not be calculated because nails were not separated from the tree during the selling of agar tree by the farmers and also the price of the nails was not included in the price of tree (farmers receive no price for nails). The respondent farmers don't take any kind bank loan for their farming operations and bank loan facilities for agar farming is still absent in the study area. In the present study, land use cost was calculated on the basis of the lease value of land and it covers the land tax. So, the farmers don't need to pay extra land tax. ➢ The authors are not familiar with Reimbursement Period Method and they are not sure about its implementation in the present analysis. ➢ Some numbers are revised in the manuscript according to the suggestion. ➢ We have revised the conclusions and some comments are made by using the numerical values of the results of the analysis. Hope that these addresses the concerns of the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The article is thought to be quite comprehensive and useful.	

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	