



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_47228
Title of the Manuscript:	ENVIRONMENTAL ACCOUNTING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: A SURVEY OF QUOTED MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The author presented conclusion of three paragraphs. The second and third paragraphs neither answer the aims nor support the results of this study. The first paragraph is not enough to conclude this study. The author needs to present new conclusion by inferring the problems of this study.	This research paper investigated the relationship between environmental accounting and the economic development of Nigeria. The findings of the research as shown in the Table 1 & 2 in the main work indicate that Environmental Protection Costs, Environmental Management Costs and Environmental Research and Development Costs all have a considerable effect on the gross domestic product of Nigeria. However, none effects as exhibited by these variables were statistically significant implying that environmental accountings as enumerated in study does not significantly affect economic development in Nigeria. Hence conclusion was made based on our findings and recommendations made accordingly. It was duly captured in the first paragraph while the subsequent paragraphs accommodated the recommendations.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.