



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_47529
Title of the Manuscript:	EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OF CURRENT ASSETS INVESTMENT AND CORPORATE FINANCIAL RETURNS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	No major revision comment	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. In line 14 of the Abstract, replace the word "acceptance" by "non-rejection". Another option is to replace the phrase "acceptance of the null hypothesis" by "failure of rejecting the null hypothesis". The word "accept" is no longer use as a decision for null hypothesis. The decision is either "reject" or "fail to reject".</p> <p>2. The symbol use for Pearson correlation is "r". Replace "t" in lines 12 and 14 of the Abstract by "r", that is "r = .443" and "r = .369" for line 12 and 14, respectively. The p-value should also be written without "0" before the decimal point, that is "p = .004" (line 13) and "p = .019" (line 14). Do the same corrections for the results and discussion in page 9 and the conclusions in pages 10-11.</p> <p>3. Further, use "R" instead of "r" for coefficient of correlation and "R<sup>2</sup>" instead of "r<sup>2</sup>" for coefficient of determination in page 9 and page 11.</p> <p>4. All references cited in the text should be included in the list of references at the end of the paper. In particular, the following references should be included: Jose et al. 1996 (cited in line 189; and Gill et al. 2010 (cited in line 206).</p>	<p>In line 14 of the abstract the word "acceptance" has been replaced by "non-rejection" Thank you for making us know what is invoke as per decision rule.</p> <p>"t" has been replaced by "r" as directed, the p-value written without "0". The said corrections have been effected in pages 9, 10 and 11 accordingly.</p> <p>'R' used instead of "r"</p> <p>Noted and done.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript