



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_49338
Title of the Manuscript:	Ecological Risk Assessment of heavy metals in soil of an open dump along old Ikare road Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>A very good research work. Well written. However, needs some corrections on the referencing method.</b>  <b>The language of your write up depicts a referencing method that uses authors' names and the year of publication instead of numbers.</b>  <b>You cannot use numbers with the type of language of your write up.</b>  <b>Examples:</b>  <b>Under introduction, first paragraph:</b>  <b>Line 3-5: numbers cannot reveal anything. It is a wrong English sentence. You can recast and write:</b>  <b>Previous studies showed that in the past decades,.....disposal of solid waste in the environment (Simatele et al, 2017; Simelane and Mohee, 2015; Nzeadibe, 2009).</b>  <b>Note: et al should be in italics, the recent years should come first when you have more than one reference for a sentence.</b></p> <p><b>Line 10, recast thus:</b>  <b>In 2014, Adeyi and Torto revealed that environmental..... anthropogenic sources.</b></p> <p><b>Under introduction, second paragraph:</b>  <b>Line 3:</b>  <b>(6) observes that.....</b>  <b>a number cannot be said to observe. Remove the number and write the author's name and year of publication.</b></p> <p><b>Line 8 and line 10:</b>  <b>Numbers cannot observe.</b></p> <p><b>Line 15:</b>  <b>Numbers cannot be of any opinion.</b></p> <p><b>Under 2.4 Data Analysis</b>  <b>2.4.1 Determination of soil contaminants</b>  <b>4th paragraph:</b>  <b>Nadir et al (2006) recommends that.....</b>  <b>or</b>  <b>Nadir et al in 2006, recommends that.....</b></p> <p><b>This is a very good referencing method. This is the type of referencing method I recommend for the type of language used in your write up. Numbers cannot be said to recommend. It is only a person that can recommend.</b>  <b>Please, kindly go through your write up and remove all the numbers. Instead insert the author's surname and year of publication.</b>  <b>Secondly, be consistent. You cannot combine numbers and authors names like you did in 2.4.1:</b>  <b>4th paragraph and 6th paragraph.</b>  <b>Thirdly, go to the reference page at the end of your write up and remove all the numbers there.</b>  <b>You can also add some color to your write up by arranging your references in alphabetical order, although this is not compulsory.</b></p>	<p>Thank you for your observations. I have removed all the numbers and replaced them with the authors names as you have suggested.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<b>Even in scientific write ups, let's be mindful of our English language.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	