



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_49338
Title of the Manuscript:	Ecological Risk Assessment of heavy metals in soil of an open dump along old Ikare road Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Abstract: Correct geoaccumula to geo-accumulation, and use space bar to separate wet from and.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Line 29, 38 &amp; 43: Starting a sentence with [5], [6] etc, is not ideal, Use author(s)'s first name, then [number].</b></li> <li>3. <b>Line 51: Do you mean dumps or dungs?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Line101: Wastes, not waste.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Line 129: It is ideal to state the weight of soil sample digested.</b></li> <li>6. <b>Line 130: 70% Nitric acid concentrated or dilute? Is one acid ideal for digestion? Which standard method is that?</b></li> <li>7. <b>Line 131: Is it 1040C or 104°C?</b></li> <li>8. <b>Line135: Is the AAS Flame or Carbon graphite? Please state.</b></li> <li>9. <b>Line142: Was, not were.</b></li> <li>10. <b>Line146: According to who?</b></li> <li>11. <b>Line153 &amp; 173: Be consistent with code numbers or Authors names in your citations.</b></li> <li>12. <b>Line226: showed, not show.</b></li> <li>13. <b>Line256: seasons, not season.</b></li> <li>14. <b>Line293: Conforms to, not with.</b></li> <li>15. <b>Line302: heavy metals present, notmetal.</b></li> <li>16. <b>Line338: samples were, not sample.</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I have made the correction</li> <li>2. I have insert the names of the authors</li> <li>3. I mean dung</li> <li>4. These wastes</li> <li>5. 2 g</li> <li>6. HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF and HClO<sub>4</sub></li> <li>7. 104°C</li> <li>8. AA Spectrophotometer</li> <li>9. Was first designed</li> <li>10. Tomlinson <i>et al</i>, 1980</li> <li>11. I have inserted the authors names</li> <li>12. Showed corrected</li> <li>13. Seasons has been corrected</li> <li>14. Conforms to corrected</li> <li>15. Heavy metals corrected</li> <li>16. Samples were has been corrected</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	References should be for matted according to the Journal's guidelines.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	