



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_46645
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Evaluation of Students Attainment in Assessment Components for the Unit Taught in Large Classes by using SPASS v17
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>You misspell the name of the software in your title. It is SPSS. Not SPASS, which is also software (mathematical).</p> <p>Background. Your 1st sentence makes no sense. You should reword it: "The challenge in large In classes (more than 100 students) is to create a learner centred environment, promote active learning and engaging learners when there are so many students."</p> <p>Conclusion: after the word "tutorials" should be a period. Then skip a space, like you do for paragraphs I the rest of the paper. Easily fixed.</p>	<p>Agreed and corrected</p> <p>Agreed and corrected</p> <p>Agreed and corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Why do you have different size and families of fonts in 1 paper?	Corrected for the uniformity
Optional/General comments	<p>I find your literature review seriously lacking. What does an older publication regarding law students have to tell you about engineering students? More current works would be more credulous.</p> <p>These issues are serious, but easily fixed I believe. Good paper and good use of SPSS.</p>	It is cited to refer the assessment levels required for any professional courses based on bloom taxonomy. If not required may be removed.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues