



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_47328
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSROOM SIZE AS A PREDICTOR OF BULLYING BEHAVIOUR AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL ADOLESCENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A few sentences seem fallacious and not clear. e.g. Olweus [7] stated that a person is bullied when he or she is exposed, repeatedly and over time, to negative actions on the part of one or more other persons. Para2: not clear</p> <p>Research objectives are not explained explicitly for readers</p> <p>Research objectives are not explained explicitly for readers comprehensibility</p>	<p>The sentence has been reconstructed as recommended.</p> <p>The research questions explaining the research objectives has been incorporated as suggested</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Too much introduction to bullying but little focused to address the research aim.</p> <p>Need to add more literature related to this study relating to socio economic, gender etc.</p> <p>In text citations are not clear too</p> <p>e.g. Craig, Harel-Fisch, Fogel-Grinvald, Dostaler, Hetland, and Simons-Morton [13] Year is missing</p> <p>Documentation did not follow APA</p> <p>Eg. Whitney & Smith (APA?)</p> <p>Is this right? For instance Greeff and Grobler [47] returned that a percentage of 564% of South African students reporting to be bullied.</p>	<p>The In text citations followed was based on the template provided by this journal. The full citation is provided in the references.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Abstract need to be rewritten accordingly: Which format??</p> <p>It consists of incomplete sentences e.g. Study design: Cross-sectional survey design</p> <p>Theoretical framework is not clear and has not been tied well with the study</p>	<p>The Abstract format we followed was provided by this journal, downloaded from the author guidelines page.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	