



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_47440
Title of the Manuscript:	Training National and Vocational Women: Characteristics of Curriculum of Female Secondary Education in Colonized Manchuria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This topic is interesting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>However, research problem is not that much clear. Further elaborate the problem with fact.</li> <li>"This research <del>mainly</del> focuses on the school curriculums made by the Manchukuo government". This <b>purpose of the study has to be more specific.</b></li> <li><b>Methodology: Methodology is not clear. Specify research design, sample, data collection methods and methods of data analysis. Suggest to use passive voice</b></li> <li><b>Add a recommendations.</b></li> <li><b>Please follows the journal guidelines for citations and references.</b></li> </ul>	<p>Thank you for the affirmation of my work.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some previous studies were added to explain my research problem. Please see Line 45-90.</li> <li>This kind of problem was modified.</li> <li>Methodology was modified as asked. Please see abstract and Line 92-99.</li> <li>I do not understand what you mean by "add a recommendations".</li> <li>I have been modified my citations and references followed the journal guidelines.</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Line 3: "mainly" this word do not need. Over used word</p> <p>Line 33,35,37 with only names. please give year for the citations.</p> <p>Methodology:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It was modified. Please see Methodology in the abstract.</li> <li>I checked such kind problems and fixed it. Please see Line 92-99.</li> </ul>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	no