



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_47440
Title of the Manuscript:	Training National and Vocational Women: Characteristics of Curriculum of Female Secondary Education in Colonized Manchuria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The last sentence in paragraph 1 “Education was administered by.....” is standing alone (non directional) and should be revised. ii. There is a need for coherence in paragraph 2 and 3 that sought to provide a historical background or development of female education for example you can write first about the attitude towards the education of females, then the development of the ministry then policies and so on. However, seek to tell an orderly and coherent story. <p>2. METHODOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. A more detailed and systematic description of the methodology section will add value to the paper. ii. Make clear the aspects of the curriculum you are interested in as a curriculum is broad (for example, assumptions, aims and objectives, content/subject matter, mode of delivery, evaluation etc.) <p>3. CURRICULUM....</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. This section is better titled findings and discussions since the previous sections have used the traditional titles of introduction and methodology. ii. Avoid an over use of personal pronouns (I, we, ours, etc). iii. Replace the first ‘and’ with a comma (,) in the second to the last sentence of paragraph 1 (see,and merging and deletion). iv. Titles of tables should not stand alone or be cut (see tables v. Rather than using years as subtitles, I suggest the use of the various aspects of the curriculum that has been studied. <p>REFERENCES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Some references are incomplete (see 8, 10, 11). 	<p>1. INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. This sentence was revised. Pleases see Line 29-30. ii. I modified the structure of the introduction as suggested. Pleases see the introduction <p>2. METHODOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. As suggested, I added the source of materials and more details about the way of analyzing. Pleases see the methodology. ii. I modified as suggested. Please see the first sentence of methodology. <p>3. CURRICULUM....</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. I changed this title. See Line 101-102. ii. I modified this problem. iii. I deleted. iv. I revised the Table 1-3. v. I changed my subtitles. See 3.1; 3.2; 3.3. <p>REFERENCES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. These are the short style of references mentioned before. I modified it.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Punctuation marks can bring more clarity to the sentences (for example North East China and in 1932,.....). <p>3. CURRICULUM.....</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Information on a table is better discussed beneath the table. ii. Discussion should tie with the information on the table so table can be structured in include years so readers don't have to go back and forth on the page. iii. If the aim is to compare years, then a single table can be used to compare two or more years. iv. Title can be adapted to “the changing curriculum of female education in Colonised Manchuria” 	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. It was revised. <p>3. CURRICULUM.....</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. I moved some discussed paragraph as requested. See line 112-124. ii. I moved some discussed paragraph as requested. See line 178-188. iii. I combined Table 1 and Table 2 into one Table. See Table 1. iv. The title of 3 was changed.
Optional/General comments	The paper is interesting however there is a need to check, correct grammatical errors, restructure and organise ideas.	Thanks for your comments. I have corrected my grammatical errors and modified the paper.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Thanks for all of the comments.