



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_45914
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assessment of Single and Joint Toxicity of Bulk and Nano Scale Heavy Metals (Al ₂ O ₃ , CuO and SiO ₂) using Haematological Parameters as Biomarker in Juvenile African Catfish (Clarias gariepinus)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the introduction, it would be useful to give examples of researches on stress effects of nanoparticles in fish in aquatic environment. A few of the previous studies on the subject should be included in the introduction. 2. In terms of comparison of study data, previous studies in the discussion section were insufficient. For this reason, previous studies on the subject should be added to the discussion section and compared with the research results. otherwise, the discussion section seems inadequate. 	These have all been addressed
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is written in a very good and fluent language, but some typographical errors have been identified in the text. Errors are corrected in the text. 	These have all been addressed
Optional/General comments	The study is organized as an original and innovative research. It is acceptable after compulsory and minor revisions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	