



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_46894
Title of the Manuscript:	LENGTH -WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTOR OF 26 FISH SPECIES CAUGHT BY CAST NET IN NEW CALABAR RIVER, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: Suggested title 'Length-weight relationship and condition factor of fish species in New Calabar river, Nigeria.'</p> <p>Abstract: It's always expected that different species have different total and standard lengths.</p> <p>Conclude by letting us know the implications of the values derived and compare with previous data if any</p> <p>Materials and methods: why the choice of the stations at the study sites? Which identification tool was used to identify fishes? What type of analysis will be employed in analysing your data? State the scatter diagram also plotted for the species as part of data analysis.</p> <p>Results: increase scale of graph as data points seems to cluster at the top sides of the scatter diagram</p> <p>Discussion: this could be modified a bit and compared with previous studies as what stated seems too weak</p>	<p>Title of the paper is corrected as suggested by the reviewer. The different species with corresponding length i shown in table 1 for different stations and there is a pool of the all the three stations .</p> <p>Implications and comparison done as suggested also other suggestions has been taken care of</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: provide references for some concrete statements</p> <p>Materials and methods: check the formula for the length-weight relationship and the condition factor</p> <p>The dominant species caught needs to be stated in relevant abundance</p> <p>Calculate condition factor for same species but not different species</p> <p>References: most of the references/literature consulted are outdated. Kindly look for current ones. Follow journal style of referencing</p>	<p>The dominant species is also shown in the table 1 with a column created</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Fish sampling: for stock assessment purposes you need at least 12 months/ 1 year sampling data</p> <p>Results: kindly consider graphical representations of the results e.g. for species abundance</p> <p>All abbreviations used in the study must first be defined</p> <p>Not enough references were consulted. Read more on the subject for more information.</p> <p>Some general comments can be found in the original manuscript</p>	We just did a 6months study on the study area using cast net and on going research is still on so as to get the stock assessment over a period of one year(twelve months)
---	---	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	