



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_47827
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the antioxidant markers in clarias gariepinus heart exposed to mercury and ammonium chloride
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is informative but there is a need to effect the following corrections</p> <p><b>1 Abstract</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Give full meaning of abbreviations</li> <li>- Line 10 a significant change should be re-written as significant increase</li> <li>- Line 13 greater that obtained should be re-written as greater than that obtained</li> </ul> <p><b>2 Materials and Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Line 49 remove (24)</li> <li>- Line 75 and 82 give full meaning of O.D. and AST</li> </ul> <p><b>3 Results</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Give the number of fish for each experimental group in the table</li> </ul> <p><b>4 The Science Domain International format for manuscript writing should be followed download the template from the journal website</b></p>	<p>Corrected in abstract</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Correction made in the manuscript Formatted as per journal guideline</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>