



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_45673
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CULTURAL IMPACT OF MANGA ON SOCIETY
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In general, the idea to study the impacts of manga on society is good. However, this article has left many important things which made evaluation difficult.</p> <p>The author did not explain clearly the gap in the literature, the research objectives/questions, study design, what kind of impacts he/she wanted to measure, how it is measured, who are the sample and tools to obtain the data.</p> <p>The direction of the article is unclear. Not sure what the author wanted to achieve. I strongly suggest the author to focus on one community, country or group (a case study).</p>	A case study of different countries provides different cultural as well as geographical perspectives. The paper may not have a study design or hypothesis as it is a study of the history of the development of the manga industry and the challenges that it has faced during such time.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	This paper needs a <b>MAJOR</b> revision.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper needs to undergo proofreading and editing process. Please follow the journal format/guidelines. Avoid making grand statements. References section is missing.	All these comments have been adhered to.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	