



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_46283
Title of the Manuscript:	Lexical Borrowing in Nigerian English
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some grammatical, punctuation and typing mistakes - Some plagiarism indication should be re-paraphrased - Give explanation how to collect data from the daily trust and how to analyse the data - See the guideline of table - Please explain what are in table briefly. Do not present the word list without any explanation. The sub topic of Discussion should clearly explain them by elaborating the findings with previous studies/theories and the interpretation. - See the guideline of the references 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Those grammatical, punctuation and typing mistakes were corrected and highlighted in yellow -Aspects of plagiarism indicated were paraphrased and thus highlighted -The Simple Random Sampling was used, words that were domesticated were identified and classified accordingly (e.g., food, clothing, traditional festival etc.). -The research adhered to the guideline of table according to the journal specification. -The guideline of the references was read as corrected, and correction effected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>