SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJMAH_47438**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluating Serum Urea, Creatinine and Hemoglobin Level in Chronic Renal Failure of Pre and Post Dialysis at St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.**
Type of the Article	**Original research papers**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract: results: what was the level of serum creatinine after dialysis?** **The haemoglobin level before and after dialysis is not said.** **Conclusion:** Hemodialysis decreases the burden of kidney in chronic kidney disease patients. **Try to see if some words are missing in the text highlight in red.** **Remove "main text" as a title before methods** "Chronic renal failure induces a slow and progressive function of kidney. As a result the patients admitted to dialysis unit to remove their metabolic by product by using dialysis machine." This paragraph is not clear **Remove limitation of the study**	Thank you! yes we said nothing about the haemoglobin level after dialysis because all patients was anaemic and took erythropoietin during dialysis period so that it affect the post dialysis result of haemoglobin and we intentional ignored post result. We removed the limitation of the study.
Minor REVISION comments	This text has to be translated by someone who knows English as many grammatical problems.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Informed written permission was obtained from St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College Institutional Review Board (IRB). Verbal informed consent was taken from each participant. Any data generated from the specimens protected the patent privacy, confidentiality and anonymity.	Thank you for your ethical issue concern. We clearly stated in the main manuscript the ethical process as : Ethical clearance was obtained from the IRB of St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College (SPHMMC). Data collection procedure was carried out with full consent of the study participant. Each study subject was also been assured that the information provided on the request form should be confidential and used only for the purpose of research.