



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_47940
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON RELATIONSHIP OF THROMBOCYTOPENIA AND SEVERE MALARIA IN DAKSHINA KANNADA IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title</b> Change the title as it is just partially consistent with the main and objectives of the study as presented within the abstract.</p> <p><b>Abstract</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Lines 15-16:</b> Standardize the aims and objectives with the title of the manuscript</li> <li>▪ <b>Your methodology is incomplete</b></li> <li>▪ Results are not properly presented. Rewrite this section of the abstract</li> <li>▪ Your conclusion is too long. Refine it</li> </ul> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The introduction is not well written and the problematic is not clear</li> </ul> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Your sample size is too small as the inclusion criteria are not stringent and the period of sampling is long enough (December 2016 to June 2018, so 18 months)</li> <li>▪</li> </ul> <p><b>Results</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Poor presentation and interpretation of the results</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Discussion</b></p>	Thank you for your inputs sir/madam. We have made the necessary changes.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Line 42:</b> change "4,35,000" to "435,000"</li> <li>▪ <b>Line 50:</b> change "P.vivax" to "<i>P. vivax</i>"</li> <li>▪ <b>Line 52:</b> change "P.falciparum" to "<i>P. falciparum</i>"</li> </ul>	Modified
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues