



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_49334
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial profile and antimicrobial sensitivity patterns in asymptomatic bacteriuria: A Cross -sectional study of Sickle Cell Disease Patients in the Ho Municipality, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I will be leaving some guidelines to further improve the proposed study.</p> <p>1) Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improve job introduction - Improve results. Put the results with significant statistical analysis. Put the most expressive results of the study. - review the results-based conclusion <p>2) Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Start talking about SCD. Then talk about the prevalence of SCD urinary problems. Talk about urinary problems. Justify the importance and set the objective of the study. <p>3) Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is the questionnaire validated? - Have you stratified by age and sex to see the difference between these groups? - Was the statistical analysis more adequate and specific for the type of study proposed (sectional study)? considering sectional studies have to keep in mind that exposure and outcomes appear at the same time. They saw the possibility of <p>4) Results and discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improve table formatting - considering sectional studies have to keep in mind that exposure and outcomes appear at the same time. - The results and discussion need to be based on the statistical analysis done. Authors need to discuss this session better - Percentage does not mean much when doing a statistical analysis - What are the limitations of the study? <p>5) Ethical issues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - must be detailed according to the Helsinki Declaration 	I have corrected all related portions in the manuscript and highlighted those sections as requested.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Ethical considerations have been addressed in the manuscript.