



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_45701
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Antibacterial and DNA Damage Inhibitory Activities of Propolis Extract from Izmir of Turkey
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. English need to be improved in the whole manuscript. 2. Introduction does not have enough information related to your research and <i>Apis mellifera</i> L, you have to include all the previous studies related to this plant. 3. Material and method of sample preparation is unclear. Please write the details about processing of this product. 4. You need to provide more evidences related to this research in the discussion part. 5. Mechanism of action of your sample is not clear. 6. Conclusion need to be elaborated 	Thank you for your feedback comments.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How did you choose the dose? As we know plant extract contain many compounds which might be toxic, to confirm the dose, you must have to perform the cytotoxicity test. 	The present work has shown that most of the used plants are good antimicrobial agents against pathogenic bacteria. But we think it would be more useful to know the chemical composition responsible for the antimicrobial effects of these plants. In this context, it can be considered that these plant species are used as alternative treatments and can lead to later studies.
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If possible, I will suggest you to go for PCR. 2. Overall finding looks good 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>It is not animal studies, so I do not think, Ethical approval is important for this paper but Plant herbarium number is required.</p>	