



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_47951
Title of the Manuscript:	Occurrence of Bluntnose Sixgill Shark <i>Hexanchus griseus</i> (Bonnaterre, 1788) in Yeşilovacık Bay, Northeastern Mediterranean
Type of the Article	<u>Short Research Article</u>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This paper has sufficient scientific interest and originality in its technical content to merit publication in "Asian Journal of Biology". The MS deals the report of the occurrence of Bluntnose Sixgill Shark <i>Hexanchus griseus</i> (Bonnaterre, 1788) in Yeşilovacık Bay, Northeastern Mediterranean and its morphological characters.	The above cited manuscript was revised and amended according to the referee comments. The referee comments were very detailed and really so constructive: We followed the suggestions almost step by step: 1. Abstract section: Abstract (Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results, Conclusion) was edited 2. Introduction section;: page 1, Line 18; was corrected 3. Introduction section;: page 2, Line 33; was corrected and edited 4. Material and Methods section: 2.1. Study Area: Page 2, Lines 50-60; was edited 5. Material and Methods section: 2.2. Fish Sampled: Page 2, Line 71; was edited 6. Results section: Page 3, Lines 77,84,87; was edited 7. Discussion section: Page 4, Line 124; was edited 8. Discussion section: Page 4, Lines 125,129,135-136; was edited 9. Conclusion section: Page 4, Lines 152-153; was edited 10. The body text was revised and became more attractive  We must admit, referee comments were superbly useful to rearrange this manuscript. We, the authors, are grateful to their patient comments.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1. In Introduction: The Author (s) may mentions about the IUCN status of <i>Hexanchus griseus</i> and its current world wide conservation programmes. 2. Please check and change the highlighted sentences and resubmit the MS for publication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	