



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJOB_47951  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Occurrence of Bluntnose Sixgill Shark <i>Hexanchus griseus</i> (Bonnaterre, 1788) in Yeşilovacık Bay, Northeastern Mediterranean |
| Type of the Article      | <u>Short Research Article</u>  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                        | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | Change the marked one.                    | The above cited manuscript was revised and amended according to the referee comments. The referee comments were very detailed and really so constructive: We followed the suggestions almost step by step:<br>1. Abstract section: Abstract (Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results, Conclusion) was edited<br>2. Introduction section:; page 1, Line 18; was corrected<br>3. Introduction section:; page 2, Line 33; was corrected and edited<br>4. Material and Methods section: 2.1. Study Area: Page 2, Lines 50-60; was edited<br>5. Material and Methods section: 2.2. Fish Sampled: Page 2, Line 71; was edited<br>6. Results section: Page 3, Lines 77,84,87; was edited<br>7. Discussion section: Page 4, Line 124; was edited<br>8. Discussion section: Page 4, Lines 125,129,135-136; was edited<br>9. Conclusion section: Page 4, Lines 152-153; was edited<br>10. The body text was revised and became more attractive<br><br>We must admit, referee comments were superbly useful to rearrange this manuscript. We, the authors, are grateful to their patient comments. |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | Check the grammatical errors.             |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | The language syntax error can be included |   |

**PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> |   |