



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_47031
Title of the Manuscript:	Aseptic necrosis of the femoral and humeral heads in a patient who has received a course of cell therapy
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The language need care revision as there are many mistakes allover the manuscript.</p> <p>Introduction author should introduce the pulse therapy Case representation should be organized and laboratory data should added to confirm diagnosis follow up of the patient and what was done to this patient Discussion is not informative need more information collection and to be reconstructed Conclusion should be in single paragraph with the recommendations</p>	Text was revised. However there were not any clinically significant deviations in lab analysis – could we omit these data in the section of case presentation
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	All issues of ethics were described in the article