



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_49218
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Prolactin serum levels between the remission and relapse phases of Multiple Sclerosis and healthy individuals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript "Comparison of Prolactin serum levels between the remission and relapse phases of Multiple Sclerosis and healthy individuals". I find the paper very well written, concise enough and easy to follow. The topic is clear, the experiment was well designed, the analysis was well explained, the authors compare their results with other studies' findings and the discussion covered all my queries. Study limitations are not mentioned and it would be helpful to include it in the discussion section. Please make sure that there is an agreement between the references in the text and the list of references. Please confirm that the referencing style follows that currently in use in AJORRIN.	Thanks a lot for your kind and also detailed review of the manuscript. We corrected the reference sequences and also their relationships with the text content (which are also highlighted in the manuscript). Reference numbers were indicated in bracelets. References style follows the recommended style in AJORRIN. We also mentioned the limitations of the current study in discussion.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues or conflict of interests in this manuscript.