



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_47521
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical management of subtrochanteric fracture with intramedullary nailing in osteopetrosis – A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 33-38 is the replication of the abstract. Modify introduction. There is repetition noted in discussion as well. Line 98- was it femoral segmental shortening – true or apparent		I will update in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments			
Optional/General comments	Was there any other symptoms recorded in the other regions for which the radiological investigations were done?		Since the patient had recurrent fractures, we wanted to check the skeletal survey to rule out metabolic bone disorders

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Ethical approval not mentioned	No ethical issues. I will mention in the script