



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Z

	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_46900
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ARIMA, PRE-INTERVENTION AND POST-INTERVENTION MODELING OF CRUDE OIL PRICE IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Title of this study needs a little correction “ COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ARIMA: PRE AND POST INTERVENTION MODELLING OF CRUDE OIL PRICES IN NIGERIA” The introduction of this study is very small. The author should add at least two or three citations about the history of the crude oil prices in Nigeria. This will support the reason why ARIMA Modelling was used. The conclusion of 5.4 is not supporting the ABSTRACT and the whole study. This conclusion is a part of the abstract. The author needs to present a completely different CONCLUSION. The author must portray the reasons of the results (What happened and why?) and must tell the likely solutions of the problems in the conclusion. 	<p>We have corrected all the corrections as per the suggestions</p> <p>Conclusion modified</p> <p>Corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	There are punctuation and tense-verb agreement mistakes in the paper. It is not language communication. It is language mechanics and some grammatical mistakes.	Language has been corrected as per the comments
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	