



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_46944
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis and Modelling of Extreme Rainfall: A case study for Dodoma, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>THE ARTICLE MAY BE ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION, SINCE THE AUTHOR USED A METHODOLOGY, AND MODELING AND DISCUSSION ADEQUATE FOR THE DATA AND RESULTS STUDIED AND OBTAINED.</p> <p>I JUST SUGGEST THAT IF IT IS POSSIBLE THE AUTHOR TO APPLY (ADJUST) ANOTHER MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR EXTREME EVENTS BEYOND THE PARETO AND GUMBEL DISTRIBUTION. AND CITAR AN UPDATED LITERATURE.</p>	New updated literature was cited where possible.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	