



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_47695
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study on the Degree of Randomness of the Random Number Tables
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract should be well read, so as to correct typographical and grammatical errors.</p> <p>-The correct symbol of χ^2 should be used.</p> <p>-Line 54 contains this "M.G. Kendall and B. Babington". This should be checked.</p> <p>"Findings of the χ^2 Test" in line 120 and 122, can be changed to conducting the random test. Same with line 162.</p> <p>-The author(s) should use equation editor for variables, symbols and equations. Some of the equation needs to be retyped because they are faint.</p> <p>- The author(s) should read the paper carefully and correct mistakes.</p>	<p>Abstract has been spell-checked to remove typographical and grammatical errors.</p> <p>Correct symbols have been used in equation form.</p> <p>Line 54 has been reframed to give a clear meaning and reframed lines are highlighted as well.</p> <p>As only findings are emphasized of that particular test, sub headings are given like that.</p> <p>Equation editor has been used and equations have been retyped.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	