



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_49545
Title of the Manuscript:	Bayesian Models for Zero Truncated Count Data
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors should address below issues exactly for further consideration</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The English language of manuscript needs major revision. 2. The literature review is poor and the authors should use updated references to define the novelty of their article clearly. 3. In results and discussion, the authors should discuss on their results deeply. 4. The authors should show the comparison between their results and previous works. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Grammatical mistakes and typographical errors have been identified and corrected. (2) Literatures relating to the article have been reviewed accordingly; relevant references up to 2018 were included even before revision. (3) The results have been deeply discussed, just as it was earlier done, but with a few additions. (4) The results were compared with that of [3], [4], [23-25], even before revision.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	