



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_49705
Title of the Manuscript:	SMALL AREA PROCEDURES FOR ESTIMATING INCOME AND POVERTY IN EGYPT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting topic. A great job was done with the imperial part of this manuscript than theoretical. Here are a few more suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction seems to be too brief. More "flesh" can be added to it elaborating more on the background of this topic or field.</li> <li>2. It can be helpful to include the results of the research in the abstract to enable the readership of this manuscript to know the direction upon reading the abstract.</li> <li>3. Pleases create a literature review section to show what other researchers have had to say on related subject in the past.</li> <li>4. The references in this manuscript are only 12. While it is important to be concise, it is also important to site as many related papers as possible.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The main points of the results are included in the abstract.</li> <li>2. The literature review and the background of the research are presented in the introduction section, accordingly, the number of references has been increased.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	