



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_48134
Title of the Manuscript:	MATERNAL BREASTFEEDING ATTITUDES: PREGNANT WOMEN AND FACTORS WHICH AFFECT THE BREASTFEEDING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Citation style adopted not clear, the author(s) adopted superscript numbering as a form of citation, and stated Janke (1994) in the body at the same time.</p> <p>Some grammatical and typographical errors were observed in the manuscripts, which were mostly found in the Abstract. Example are as follows:</p> <p>"The study was carried out 152 pregnant women", "When the sub-scale score mean of the pregnant women are investigated, it has been determined that PBS".</p> <p>This statement found in the last paragraph of the Abstract needs to be checked: "It was concluded that SPS scores of pregnant women who were multiparous and had breastfeeding experience and were "university" graduates and have nuclear family..."</p>	<p>Janke (1994) : It has been added as a source into the text</p> <p>I made the requested arrangements</p> <p>I rearranged the conclusion of the article.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author(s) made commendable efforts to have embarked on this study. However, they need to read the manuscript thoroughly and make necessary corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	