



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_46024
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute osteomyelitis of the posterior column of the acetabulum: About a case
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author describes a case of infection of the pelvic region.</p> <p>About the history: there is no information about time of symptoms and history of exercises.</p> <p>About the diagnosis: there is no information about synovial fluid and bone sample; it's not possible to say that was a case of "acute osteomyelitis of the posterior column with soft tissue and reactive arthritis". It could be a case of pyomyositis extending to septic arthritis and osteomyelitis.</p> <p>About the treatment: the antimicrobial regimen should be explained, as well as the no need of surgical procedure.</p> <p>About the discussion: in the first 2 paragraphs the author put together incidence and prognosis (in these paragraphs the text is identical to the conclusion in reference #6) and in the 4th paragraph there is a misunderstanding about empirical therapy.</p>	<p>a week-old history</p> <p>it's about a schoolboy</p> <p>We did not do a bacteriological sampling because of the deep localization which is difficult to approach, and especially Tunisia is an endemic zone of osteomyelitis. The radiological aspect has allowed to suspect the diagnosis</p> <p>The diagnosis of pyomyositis is eliminated by imaging</p> <p>The probabilistic antibiotherapy is started with the suspicion of osteomyelitis, in front of the spectacular improvement of the symptomatology and the negativation of blood cultures, the same antibiotherapy was continued.</p> <p>The two paragraphs have been corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Figure 4 is not an X-ray.</p> <p>Figure 5 is not an MRI.</p>	<p>It is a typo that has been corrected</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>In my opinion the author could describe this interesting case focusing the discussion on the routes of infection of the hip and the difficulty of the diagnosis.</p> <p>See: Amari and Yokoi. Pyomyositis extending to septic arthritis. Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics B 2014, 23:55–58</p>	<p>We read this article and we've changed the discussion</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>The author does not describe ethical approval from their committee.</p>	