



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_46447
Title of the Manuscript:	Diffuse Reflectance of Powders
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>(Minor –revision): Manuscript title: Diffuse Reflectance of Powders</p> <p>The author has discuss the difused reflectance spectroscopy which is important tool for material characterization particulary powdered samples and thick films. I suggest to author that equation (2) is basically for band gap determination pupose so edit it and there is no connectivity between the sentences and also in FTIR the scale along Y-axis should be set in minimum range so that the peaks appear sharp.</p> <p>It seems that this MS is submitted immediately as written without polishing. I recommend this MS is resubmitted after carefully adding above suggestions, because the MS is worth publishing in the physical contents and after that I have no objection to accept this MS in Science Domain International.</p>	<p>All the Referee's comments are included in the authors manuscript. We would like to thank the Referee for the valuable comments that make revised manuscript better.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision required	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	