



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_47665
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF RADIO-FREQUENCY POWER DENSITY DISTRIBUTION AROUND GSM MAST IN KEFFI TOWN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> LINE 6-28: Authors should consider revising these lines in the Abstract to form a continuous flow of sentences taking out the headings; aim, study design, place and duration of study, and methodology and should be less than 250 words. The headings are not necessary for a journal paper. LINE 43: This increased use of mobile phone has led to increased deployment of base station. Authors should consider revising line 43. Replace 'station' with 'stations'. LINE 45: ...antenna and mobile phone or handset. Exposure... Authors should ensure to add a space before the word 'Exposure'. LINE 46: ...irradiates the whole body and exposes an entire community... Authors should replace 'expose' with 'exposes'... LINE 48: ...stations within each cell, a base station can link with number of handsets... Authors should consider revising the line to, ...with 'a' number of handsets... LINE 100: Table 1 should be moved to the next page of the manuscript for the sake of continuity and ease of reference. LINE 100: Table 1 on this line should actually be table 2. The authors should implement the needed correction. LINE 116: The authors should consider revising the line as follows; "The graph in Figure 2 shows that ..." LINE 125: The authors should endeavour to make sure that the description of a figure goes with the image of the figure on the same page of the manuscript. LINE 129: ...memory and cancer that will be experience after several years of exposure. Authors should consider replacing 'experience' with 'experienced'. LINE 79: ...shown in table 1. Replace 'table' with 'Table'. 	All observation raised were corrected accordantly. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	