Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRAF_46067**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE: PRESENT STATUS AND CROPPING PATTERN FOLLOWED BY THE FARMS IN THE KHULNA REGION**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Overall manuscript written well but I suggest:** • **Kindly check the font and font size before submitting the manuscript** • **Manuscript should be as per the guideline of journal** • **Results discussions are too long should be minimise** • **More references should give in the manuscript** • **Method for dada analysis not mentioned**	I checked out this front size and change the form also. I try to reform my manuscript according to this journal guideline. I also added some references.
Minor REVISION comments	**Abstract:** To identify the present status of agriculture along with the problem confronted by the farmers to determine the present cropping pattern followed by the farms under conservation agriculture **Line 35-36: However, producing quality food and maintaining soil health** **Line 53-55 remove** **Line 67: was** **Line 69-70: sorghum and different** **Line 70: low/medium/high** **Line 75: were** **Line 115: summer/rainy/winter** **Line 113-114: remove** **Line 127-128: remove** **Line 138-139:** **Line 138-139: followed by the old age group (22%)** **Line 136-137: Incomplete sentence** **References: Marked references not incorporated in manuscript.**	I Checked out all this error and correct this.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link:
http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20