SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRAF_46512**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Farm Level Indicators of Sustainable Land Management: Effect on Agricultural production in Oyo State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. There seems to be an inconsistency in the presentation of the objectives and the results of the study. There are three objectives stated but there are only two "sub-sections" in the Results and Discussion section: "Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents" and "Contribution of SLM indicators to sustainable land use in Oyo Zone". To correct this, the second and third objectives may be combined and the second subsection in the Results and Discussion may be re-titled as follows:** **Objective:** **… and (ii) analyse the effect of sustainable land management indicators to land use among the farmers as to whether or not the forces driving improved management practices are fully understood and construct an index of sustainable land use indicators.** **New Subsection Title:** **Contribution of SLM indicators to sustainable land use and index of sustainable land use** **2. In line 18 of the Abstract, the phrase "land been sustainable with 3.8% respectively" should be rephrased into "land use sustainability with about 3.8% each." Do the same rephrasing for line 249 of the Conclusion.** **3. In lines 19-20 of the Abstract, the phrase "contributed to land sustainability with 0% respectively" should be rephrased into "have no contribution to land use sustainability". Do the same rephrasing for line 250 of the Conclusion.**	**Thank you for your comments. We have modified the manuscript as per the comments.**
Minor REVISION comments	1. There was a citation "(NBS 2006)" in line 31. That reference should also be listed in the References section. 2. There was also a citation "(National Population Commission, 2006)" in line 68. Such reference should also be listed in the References section. 3. There are typographical errors that if not corrected will give different meanings. In line 109: "sex" should be "set". In line 179: "famers" should be "farmers". In line 259: "other" should be "order". 4. In line 255: delete the phrase "through extension officers" (since it is a duplication of that in line 254). 5. The references in lines 296-300 should also be deleted. These were not cited in-text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	