



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_47125
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Neem Leaf (Azadirachta indica) Meal as an Alternative to Antibiotic in Broiler Ration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research work is germaine and the work is relevant to the present trend in research into the use of phytobiotics to replace antibiotics. The methodology is well spelt out and the data was well analysed., Result and discussion were detailed, the tables were well arranged. If the minor corrections are well effected then the paper can be considered for publications. The minor corrections are stated below	Thanks for your great job about the improvement of my article. As like your recommendation all the necessary correction has done within the short time .Please take next step as early as possible. Thanks again.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The abstract need to be reduced to at least 250 words 2) The keywords need to be well arranged alphabetically 3) The conclusion need to be summarized 4) The references need to be well articulated and journals sited need to be abbreviated or written in full as both will not be accepted 	All the recommended correction has done and try to be well organized as per your guideline. Abstract, conclusion, keywords and references were revised. So please take urgent action for publication of my article.
Optional/General comments	The research work is up to standard only need minor corrections	All the minor correction has completed according to instructions.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	