



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_49628
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of growth performance of broiler fed with different commercial feeds of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1) The topic needs a touch 2) The abstract is not detailed enough and its inconclusive 3) The materials and method needs alot of touches 4) The conclusion is not concise	We have modified the abstract as per instructions given. Materials and methods are given in details. We have also revised the conclusion as well as whole manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The coding of the experimental diet dosent make the research to have a footing it then look like a normal feeding trial	Due to commercial issue, we used code name and feed used in trail are commercially formulated.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research is relevant if the corrections are well effected	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	